

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/378,596	08/20/1999	SHAIL ADITYA GUPTA	HP10981866-1	9330
22879 7	590 05/05/2003			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTU	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION		1, SAMARINA	
FORT COLLI	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2123	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisor	Action	09/378,596	GUPTA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Samarina Makhdoom	2123	
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
Therefore, further action by the final rejection under 37 CFR 1	ne applicant is required to a I.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (* timely filed Notice of Appe	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION IN	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
event, however, will the stat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtaine have been filed is the date for purposes 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1)	s on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv tutory period for reply expire later th WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS ed under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da is of determining the period of exten- the expiration date of the shortened ed by the Office later than three mo	If the final rejection. If the final rejection. If the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the date of the mailing date of the MONTHS from the mailing date of the FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THE THE THE	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. Solution in the superiorist of the superiorist exists. The superiorist exists of the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
		s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendm	ent(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🗌 they raise new issu	ies that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue	e of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deeme issues for appeal;		n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying th
(d) 🔲 they present addit	ional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE:		•		
3.☐ Applicant's reply has ov	vercome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or ame canceling the non-allow		be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
	exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit raised by the Examiner		cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
		(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo		and an
The status of the claim(	(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	_:			
Claim(s) objected to: _	_			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18				
Claim(s) withdrawn from	m consideration:			

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Dey discloses a method for the automatic design of a processor datapath including determining mutually exclusive operations based on the desired instruction level parallelism (See Col. 9, lines 20-30 and Figure 2b).\*

RESILECTIVE TO SORIES TO S